## TESTIMONY OF DALE SCHULER PRESIDENT, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF WHEAT GROWERS BEFORE THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE SUBCOMMITTEE ON CONSERVATION, CREDIT, RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH JULY 27, 2006

GOOD MORNING CHAIRMAN LUCAS, RANKING MEMBER HOLDEN AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE. I'M DALE SCHULER, PRESIDENT OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF WHEAT GROWERS AND A WHEAT FARMER FROM CARTER, MONTANA.

I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO APPEAR BEFORE THE SUBCOMMITTEE AND I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION TO A CRITICAL PART OF OUR FUTURE FARM PROGRAMS, THE CONSERVATION TITLE OF THE FARM BILL.

BEFORE WE TAKE A LOOK FORWARD AND DISCUSS WHAT THE FUTURE MIGHT HOLD, IT MAY BE HELPFUL TO TAKE A LOOK BACK TO SEE FROM WHERE WE'VE COME.

FROM OUR NATION'S EARLIEST FOUNDING, AGRICULTURE AND WISE STEWARDSHIP WERE LINKED TOGETHER IN THE MINDS OF THE LEADING THINKERS OF THE DAY.

OUR FIRST PRESIDENT, GEORGE WASHINGTON, MAY HAVE BEEN CONSIDERED "THE FIRST FARMER" AS WELL. HIS BOTANICAL EXPERIMENTATIONS AND TECHNICAL INNOVATIONS WERE MATCHED BY A KEEN SENSE OF THE NEED FOR SOIL STEWARDSHIP. HE SAW HOW THE CONTINUOUS PLANTING OF TOBACCO HAD, EVEN THEN, STARTED TO DEPLETE THE PIEDMONT SOILS AND WAS AN EARLY ADVOCATE OF ROTATIONAL CROP PRACTICES.

OF COURSE, THOMAS JEFFERSON IS WELL KNOWN FOR HIS LIFELONG DEVOTION TO A SCIENTIFIC APPROACH TO AGRICULTURE AND STEWARDSHIP. EVEN JEFFERSON'S IDEAS ABOUT GOVERNMENT, WHICH ARE THE PHILOSOPHICAL UNDERPINNINGS FOR OUR COUNTRY, ARE BASED ON THE CLOSE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE LAND AND THE PEOPLE.

PRESIDENT THEODORE ROOSEVELT IS WIDELY BELIEVED TO HAVE BEEN ONE OF THE GREAT CONSERVATIONIST PRESIDENTS OF THE 20<sup>TH</sup> CENTURY. IN 1908, HE DECLARED CONSERVATION AS A NATIONAL DUTY. HE IS ALSO CREDITED WITH HAVING ADVOCATED AND CREATED OUR VAST SYSTEM OF NATIONAL FORESTS.

HE DELIVERED A SPEECH IN 1910 THAT CAPTURES THE ATTITUDE SHARED BY MANY, IF NOT MOST, OF MY FELLOW FARMERS. HE SAID:

"I ASK NOTHING OF THIS NATION EXCEPT THAT IT SO BEHAVE AS EACH FARMER HERE BEHAVES WITH REFERENCE TO HIS OWN CHILDREN. THAT FARMER IS A POOR CREATURE WHO SKINS THE LAND AND LEAVES IT WORTHLESS TO HIS CHILDREN. THE FARMER IS A GOOD FARMER WHO, HAVING ENABLED THE LAND TO SUPPORT HIMSELF AND PROVIDE FOR THE EDUCATION OF HIS CHILDREN, LEAVES IT TO THEM A LITTLE BETTER THAN HE FOUND IT HIMSELF. I BELIEVE THE SAME THING OF A NATION."

THE 1930S BROUGHT THE GREAT DEPRESSION AND THE INFAMOUS DUST BOWL CONDITIONS THAT LITERALLY SWEPT PORTIONS OF TEXAS, OKLAHOMA, KANSAS AND COLORADO AWAY. THIS LED CONGRESS TO ENACT SOME OF OUR EARLIEST FEDERAL CONSERVATION PROGRAMS.

WHILE CONSERVATION ISSUES HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED SINCE THE 1930S, THEY EXISTED, IN PART, AS AN OUTGROWTH OF ATTEMPTS TO SUPPORT COMMODITY PRICES THROUGH LAND SET-ASIDES. IT WASN'T UNTIL 1981 THAT CONSERVATION "TITLES" WERE INCLUDED IN FARM BILLS.

I PROVIDED THIS REVIEW NOT AS SOME TEDIOUS HISTORY LESSON, BUT RATHER TO ILLUSTRATE THE PRINCIPLE THAT A STRONG CONSERVATION ETHIC HAS ALWAYS BEEN ROOTED IN AMERICAN AGRICULTURE, AND HAS MANIFESTED ITSELF IN THE PROMOTION OF NO-TILL FARMING, THE USE OF FILTERSTRIPS AND BUFFER ZONES TO REDUCE SEDIMENTATION AND THE MAINTENCE OF WILDLIFE HABITAT.

THE 2002 FARM BILL PROVIDED THE MOST ROBUST CONSERVATION TITLE OF ANY PREVIOUS LEGISLATION, BOTH IN TERMS OF FUNDING AND PROGRAMS. IT ALSO CREATED THE NEW CONSERVATION SECURITY PROGRAM (CSP), WHICH HELD GREAT PROMISE ALONG WITH THE UNCERTAINTY THAT ACCOMPANIES ANY NEW PROGRAM.

UNFORTUNATELY, SUBSEQUENT ACTIONS BY CONGRESS REDUCED AND CAPPED FUNDING FOR CSP AND TURNED IT FROM A MANDATORY FUNDING PROGRAM TO A DISCRETIONARY FUNDING PROGRAM. THE NEED TO KEEP PROGRAM COSTS DOWN RESULTED IN BARRIERS TO PARTICIPATION, LIKE THE PRIORITY WATERSHED CONCEPT, WHICH HAVE FRUSTRATED POPULAR SUPPORT FOR THE PROGRAM.

SO WHAT HAPPENS IN 2007, WHEN THE NEXT FARM BILL WILL LIKELY BE WRITTEN?

NAWG WILL NOT BE PRESSING FOR A RADICAL RESTRUCTURING OF THE EXISTING CONSERVATION TITLE. WE WILL BE LOOKING FOR OPPORTUNITIES TO INSURE EXISTING CONSERVATION PROGRAMS ARE MORE EFFICIENTLY COORDINATED UTILIZING SHARED INFORMATION AND DATA. FOR EXAMPLE, WHY SHOULD EACH PROGRAM HAVE A SEPARATE SIGN-UP? WHY COULDN'T PRODUCERS PARTICIPATE IN A SINGLE SIGN-UP, INDICATING WHICH PROGRAM OR PROGRAMS THEY ARE INTERESTED IN AND SUBMITTING THE NECESSARY INFORMATION TO ALLOW NRCS OR FSA TO DETERMINE THE PROGRAM FOR WHICH THEYARE MOST ELIGIBLE?

THE BASELINE FUNDING FOR CERTAIN CONSERVATION PROGRAMS WAS EXTENDED OUT THROUGH 2010 OR 2011 IN THE BUDGET RECONCILIATION BILL ENACTED LAST YEAR. THEREFORE, WE WILL BE WORKING TO SEE ALL CONSERVATION PROGRAMS AND, PARTICULARLY CSP, ADEQUATELY FUNDED AND WORK TO REMOVE PRIORITY WATERSHEDS AND OTHER BARRIERS TO PARTICIPATION. I REALIZE FUNDING IS ALWAYS GOING TO BE AN ISSUE, BUT IF WE CAN MAINTAIN THE EXTENDED BUDGET BASELINE, IT MAY BE SOMETHING WE CAN ACCOMPLISH.

FINALLY, I ASK THAT THE COMMITTEE CONSIDER ALLOWING SOME PORTION OF CRP LAND BE UTILIZED FOR THE PLANTING AND HARVESTING OF A DEDICATED ENERGY CROP SUCH AS SWITCHGRASS, PROVIDED IT IS DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH A CONSERVATION PLAN THAT WOULD TAKE WILDLIFE HABITAT AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS INTO CONSIDERATION. THIS COULD, FOR EXAMPLE, TARGET CRP LAND IN THE LOWEST 50 PERCENTILE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS RANKING AND REQUIRE THAT, IN EXCHANGE FOR HARVESTING AND SELLING THE ENERGY CROP, THE CONTRACT HOLDER WOULD ACCEPT A REDUCED RENTAL PAYMENT.

THANK YOU AGAIN FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY. WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH THE COMMITTEE AS YOU REAUTHORIZE THE FARM BILL.

## Committee on Agriculture U.S. House of Representatives Information Required From Non-governmental Witnesses

House rules require non-governmental witnesses to provide their resume or biographical sketch prior to testifying. If you do not have a resume or biographical sketch available, please complete this form.

| 1 | Name: Dale Schuler Business Address: 415 Second St. N.E. Suite 300   |
|---|--|
|   | Business Address: 4/3 Second J. 12   |
|   | Washington, D.C. 20002   |
|   |  |
|   | Business Phone Number: (202) 347-7800  |
|   | Organization you represent: National Association of Wheat O  |
|   | Please list any occupational, employment, or work-related experience you have which  |
|   | 20 years as a partner in Schuler Bros  |
|   | Farm, managing finance, marketing and  |
|   | production.  |
|   | Please list any special training, education, or professional experience you have which add to your qualifications to provide testimony before the Committee:   |
|   | 3.5. Agricultural Economics: Montana   |
|   | State University 1982  |
|   | If you are appearing on behalf of no organization, please list the capacity in which you representing that organization, including any offices or elected positions you hold:  President, National Association of What Gro |
|   | 1 1 - Diversi 1 Iva x 1  |
|   |  |

PLEASE ATTACH THIS FORM OR YOUR BIOGRAPHY TO EACH COPY OF TESTIMONY.

07/20/2008 04:12 FAX 2025476412

## Committee on Agriculture U.S. House of Representatives Required Witness Disclosure Form

House Rules\* require nongovernmental witnesses to disclose the amount and source of Federal grants received since October 1, 2004.

| Name:    | Dale Schuler  |  |
|----------|---|--|
| Address: | 17105 Dent Bridge Rd Cart   | er MT 59420  |
| Telephor | ne: (406) 734-5404  |  |
| Organiza | ation you represent (if any): National Associ   | chalton  |
| 70       | wheat Growers   |  |
|          | Please list any federal grants or contracts (including s<br>you have received since October 1, 2004, as well as the<br>each grant or contract. House Rules do NOT require<br>to individuals, such as Social Security or Medicare be<br>payments, or assistance to agricultural producers: | disclosure of federal payments   |
| Saurce   | 1   | Amount:  |
|          | *   | Amount:  |
| 2.       | If you are appearing on behalf of an organization, pleontracts (including subgrants and subcontracts) the October 1, 2004, as well as the source and the amount   | COLDENSE TENED I THE STATE OF T |
| Source   | se:   | Amount:  |
|          | ce:   | Amount:  |
| Pleas    | se check here if this form is NOT applicable to you:  |  |
|          | ature: Nele Schol   |  |
|          |   |  |

\* Rule XI, clause 2(g)(4) of the U.S. House of Representatives provides: Each committee shall, to the greatest extent practicable, require witnesses who appear before it to submit in advance written statements of proposed testimony and to limit their initial presentations to the committee to brief summaries thereof. In the case of a witness appearing in a nongovernmental capacity, a written statement of proposed testimony shall include a curriculum vitae and a disclosure of the amount and source (by agency and program) of each Federal grant (or subgrant thereof) or contract (or subcontract thereof) received during the current fiscal year or either of the two previous fiscal years by the witness or by any entity represented by the witness.

PLEASE ATTACH DISCLOSURE FORM TO EACH COPY OF TESTIMONY.